Depinning Dynamics of Crack Fronts
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We investigate experimentally and theoretically the dynamics of a crack front during the microinstabilities taking place in heterogeneous materials between two successive equilibrium positions. We focus specifically on the spatiotemporal evolution of the front, as it relaxes to a straight configuration, after depinning from a single obstacle of controlled strength and size. We show that this depinning dynamics is not controlled by inertia, but instead by the rate dependency of the dissipative mechanisms taking place within the fracture process zone. This implies that the crack speed fluctuations around its average value $v_m$ can be predicted from an overdamped equation of motion $(v - v_m)/\gamma = G - G_s(v_m) / G_s(v_m)$ involving the characteristic material speed $v_0 = G_s(v_m) / G_s(v_m)$ that emerges from the variation of fracture energy with crack speed. Our findings pave the way to a quantitative description of the critical depinning dynamics of cracks in disordered solids and open up new perspectives for the prediction of the effective failure properties of heterogeneous materials.

Woods, nacre, bones, or rationally designed artificial materials, are all heterogeneous solids, with mechanical properties far exceeding those of their constitutive components. Understanding the role of microscale heterogeneities on the macroscale fracture behavior of solids still remains a query. This becomes especially relevant now, as rapid developments in microfabrication techniques allow the tailoring of microstructures at ever smaller scales, yielding new types of composites, known as metamaterials, with unprecedented mechanical properties [1–6]. Recently, significant progress was made for weakly heterogeneous brittle solids where models describing a crack front as a deformed interface pinned by tough obstacles have been successfully applied [7–11]. The homogenized fracture properties can be computed exactly within the so-called weak pinning limit [12], where the elastic energy release rate $G$ balances the fracture energy $G_s$ at any time and any position along the front. This approach holds for weak variations of toughness along the propagation direction. The crack evolution is then smooth and can be properly approximated by a continuous succession of equilibrium front configurations [13,14]. This approach was successfully used to design weakly heterogeneous systems with improved and new macroscopic failure properties [15–18].

However, most natural and engineered materials have a microstructure composed of discontinuous heterogeneities which cannot be described within the weak pinning regime. The strong pinning regime that predominates for large toughness gradients challenges standard homogenization approaches. Crack propagation is not quasistatic but proceeds by intermittent and local microinstabilities. Further, for a disordered distribution of obstacles, crack growth takes place close to the so-called depinning critical transition [19–21], so that the crack front dynamics is dominated by avalanches spanning over a large range of length and time scales [22–26]. The precise understanding of the front evolution during these rapid events is a prerequisite to predict and further, to control the fracture energy of heterogeneous solids. Beyond fracture, the behavior of driven disordered mechanical systems with long-range interactions is still an open question whose tremendous difficulty resides in the subtle interplay between fast, localized, depinning events and larger macroscopic avalanches forming a complex energetic landscape composed of many metastable states [27–29].

In this Letter, we address experimentally and theoretically the basic problem of the interaction of a crack front with a tough obstacle in the strong pinning regime. In our experiment, a planar crack is driven at a constant speed over a tough region of finite length along the propagation direction, triggering a depinning instability between two well-defined metastable states. The size and strength of the obstacles are fully controlled and adjusted using our patterning technique. The sample allows in situ visualization of...
The crack front dynamics which is resolved spatially and temporally.

After normalizing all the length scales by the obstacle width \( d \), we show that the relaxation dynamics follows a universal law which only depends on \( v_0 \), the crack speed at depinning for an obstacle of unit strength. \( v_0 \) is also found to vary linearly with the crack speed \( v_m \) prior depinning by the loading rate. Next, we develop a theoretical model based on linear elastic fracture mechanics to quantitatively capture the observed behavior. Here, inertial effects can be neglected as the crack speed remains several orders of magnitude lower than the wave speed. Instead, we take into account the rate dependence of the fracture energy to quantitatively capture the effect of crack speed on the dissipative mechanisms taking place within the process zone.

Thus, unlike perfectly brittle solids, crack may propagate at finite speed in dissipative materials as the deformation after depinning and theoretical prediction.

The implications of our results on the energy dissipated during fast fracture events and the fracture behavior of materials with randomly distributed obstacles are discussed in the final part of our Letter.

We start by describing our experimental setup. A 5 mm plate made of polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA, Young modulus \( E_p \approx 1.8 \text{ GPa} \)) with a heterogeneous coating is detached from a thick elastomer block using the beam cantilever geometry shown in Fig. 1(a). A vertical upward pointlike force is exerted at the extremity of the PMMA plate by means of a string connected to a mechanical testing machine allowing us to impose the deflection speed. The elastomer is a cross-linked polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS Sylgard184, Dow Corning) with a much lower Young modulus \( E_s = 1.5 \text{ MPa} \) than PMMA and a Poisson’s ratio \( \nu_s \approx 0.5 \). It is prepared by mixing an oligomer together with a silicon oil and degased for 2 h under mild vacuum. It is then cured in an oven at 75°C for at least 2 h. The resulting cross-linked PDMS block of size \( W \times L = 50 \times 80 \text{ mm}^2 \) with thickness \( h_s = 20 \text{ mm} \) is then demoulded. The crack is driven at an average speed \( v_m \) in the range 5–100 \( \mu \text{m/s} \) that is set by the deflection rate imposed by the testing machine.

Taking inspiration from the experiments of Xia et al. [10,15], we control the local fracture properties of the interface by printing obstacles on a commercial transparency, taking advantage of the high toughness \( G_{el} \) of the printed regions on PDMS compared to the neat one noted \( G_{el0} \). Unlike \( G_{el} \), which does not show significant variations with the crack speed \( v_m \), \( G_{el0} \) is found to increase as \( v_m^{-\theta} \) where \( \theta = 0.37 \pm 0.05 \) [30]. The microscopical origin of the exponent is still highly debated [31,32]. As a consequence, the contrast \( C = (G_{el} - G_{el0})/G_{el0} \) can be varied by exploring different crack speeds. As shown in Fig. 1(b), rectangles of width \( 2d \) and length \( 6d \) are aligned along the propagation direction where \( d \) is varied between 0.1 and 0.5 mm. A spacing of \( 6d \) between two successive obstacles is chosen to allow a complete relaxation of the front before it reaches the next obstacle. The transparency is then bonded onto the PMMA plate by means of a double-sided adhesive tape, the heterogeneous side facing up. Finally, a thin liquid film of PDMS is laid on the substrate before bringing the coated PMMA plate in contact allowing an intimate bonding between materials after curing at 40°C for 48 h.

The transparency of the materials used in our setup is exploited to visualize the front geometry and its evolution as it interacts with the obstacle. Images of \( 3900 \times 2600 \text{ pixels} \) are taken normal to the mean fracture plane by a CCD camera through a semitransparent mirror oriented at 45°. An LED panel is placed horizontally beneath the sample to increase the contrast between the bonded and unbonded regions of the interface. A homemade algorithm extracts then the crack position \( c(z, t) \) for each image taken at time \( t \) where the depinning onset defines \( t = 0 \) [see Fig. 1(c)]. The front deformation is defined as \( \delta f(z,t) = c(z,t) - c(0,t) \).

An acquisition rate of 10 Hz allows resolving in detail the front evolution during the depinning regime.

In a typical experiment, the front propagates initially in a homogeneous interface as a straight line. For the range of velocity explored, no stick-slip instability occurs [33]. When crossing the obstacle, the profile gradually deforms until reaching a stationary shape composed of a pinned region of amplitude \( \delta f_0(C, d) \) and logarithmic tails \( \delta f_t(z) \approx 2 \delta f_0(C, d) \ln(|z|/d) \) for \( |z| \gg d \). For weak obstacles, \( \delta f_0(C, d) \) varies linearly with \( C \) but nonlinearities appear when \( C \) is finite, yielding \( \delta f_0(C, d) = dC_{NL}/\pi \), where \( C_{NL} = C(1 - C/2 + C^2/6) \) [8,9,11,34,35]. When reaching the end of the obstacle, the crack front is suddenly out of

FIG. 1. (a) Schematics of the experimental setup showing an interfacial crack front pinned by a heterogeneity. (b) Rectangular obstacles of larger toughness. (c) \( c(z,t) \) and \( \delta f(z,t) = c(z,t) - c(0,t) \) are the crack front profile and deformation, respectively. \( \delta f_s = \delta f(z,t < 0) \), \( \delta f_0 = \delta f(d,0) \) and \( w(t) \) are the pinned (stationary) crack front deformation, the characteristic height, and half-width, respectively. (d) Sequence of crack front deformation after depinning and theoretical prediction.

\( \delta = 141 \mu \text{m}, C = 1.2, v_m = 121 \mu \text{m/s} \).
equilibrium as the deformed profile is not stable in a homogeneous interface. We observe a fast motion of the pinned region and a slower motion of the remote part resulting in a relaxation towards a straight configuration [Fig. 1(d)]. This behavior is reminiscent of avalanches which are sudden fracture events observed between metastable configurations in fully disordered materials driven close to the so-called depinning transition [23,25,27]. We will see later that both phenomena are actually closely related.

We first focus on the initial dynamics of the instability measuring the depinning velocity \( v_{\text{dep}} \) defined as \( v_{\text{dep}} = \frac{d\xi_{\mid \leq \xi_c}}{dt} \mid_{v_m} \) where \( \xi_{\mid \leq \xi_c} \) is the front position averaged over \( |z| < d \). While most experimental and numerical studies only report averaged quantities such as avalanches duration and size, here we have access to the entire dynamics. We found that \( v_{\text{dep}} \) is not uniquely determined by either \( v_m \) or \( C \) as indicated by the non-monotonic behavior shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). However, in Fig. 2(c), we show that \( v_{\text{dep}} \) is linearly depending on \( C v_m \) as revealed by the good collapse of the data onto a line of slope \( v_{\text{dep}}/(C v_m) = v_0/v_m = 3.1 \), where \( v_0 = v_{\text{dep}}/C \) is the depinning velocity for an obstacle of unit strength.

Next, we address the relaxation dynamics at longer times beyond the onset of instability. We first measure the amplitude \( \delta f(d,t) \) of the front deformation, and its evolution during depinning [see Fig. 1(c)]. As shown in the inset of Fig. 3(a), we observe that \( \delta f(d,t) \) relaxes towards zero at a rate strongly depending on \( v_m \) and \( C \). However, we found a good collapse of the relaxation profiles by normalizing \( \delta f(d,t) \) and \( t \) by \( C v_m \times d \) and \( d/v_m \), respectively. These rescalings are found to be also relevant for the evolution of the half-width \( w(t) \) of the perturbation, where \( w(t) \) is defined from the relation \( \delta f[w(t)] = \delta f_c(d) \) [see Fig. 1(c)]. Here, \( w(t) \) quantifies the lateral spreading of the perturbation through time. As shown in Fig. 3(b), we also find a good collapse of the data normalizing \( w(t) \) by \( d \). Further, after a short transient, the width is found to grow linearly with time, following \( w(t) = 5.7 v_m t \).

![Figure 2](image1.png)

**FIG. 2.** Depinning velocity \( v_{\text{dep}} \) defined as the jump in crack speed as the front escapes from the obstacle varying (a) \( C \) and (b) \( v_m \). (c) \( v_{\text{dep}} \) increases linearly with \( C v_m \).
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**FIG. 3.** (a) Relaxation of the normalized deformation amplitude with theoretical prediction (dashed line). Inset: data before normalization. (b) Spreading of the deformation width \( w(t) \) and theoretical prediction (dashed line).

To explain quantitatively the observed dynamics, we develop a model within the framework of linear elastic fracture mechanics (LEFM) including a physically based dissipation mechanism to account for the viscoelastic dissipation in the process zone (PZ). Imposing that the energy release rate is balanced by the dissipated work within the PZ, the equation controlling crack evolution reads

\[
G[c(z,t)] = G_c[v(z,t), v(z,t)].
\]

Here, \( G_c \) not only depends on the crack configuration \( c(z,t) \) resulting from the interaction of the front with the obstacle, but also on the local speed \( v(z,t) = \partial c(z,t)/\partial t \) owing to the rate dependency of the dissipation. A first-order perturbation of Eq. (1) around the mean front position \( v_m t \) yields \( \delta G[\delta c] = \left[ \partial G_c(v_m) \right] / \partial v \delta v \), where \( \delta c(z,t) = c(z,t) - v_m t \) and \( \delta v(z,t) = v(z,t) - v_m \). The left-hand side term corresponds to a nonlocal elastic restoring force [36] while the right-hand side term represents a local friction term increasing linearly with \( v \). Terms such as \( \left[ \partial G_c(v_m) / \partial v \right] \) are not relevant since depinning occurs in a homogeneous region of the interface. The fracture toughness is taken in the form of \( G_c = G_c^0(v/v_m, \gamma \lambda \varepsilon) \), where \( G_c^0, \gamma \), and \( \varepsilon \) are material parameters characterizing the dissipation mechanisms taking place in the process zone. Upon
linearization of $G_c$ around the macroscopic driving velocity $v_m$ in a slow propagation regime $v_m \ll v_c$, we obtain

$$
\frac{1}{G_c} \frac{\delta G_c}{\delta v} \equiv \frac{1}{v_0} \frac{\gamma}{v_m}.
$$

(2)

Here we take $\gamma = 1/3$ in agreement with the value measured for the neat regions of the interface between the PDMS substrate and the cantilever [30]. Using the expression of $\delta G$ derived for an interfacial crack between an incompressible substrate and a much stiffer material [37], we obtain the equation of motion

$$
\frac{1}{v_0} \frac{\partial \delta c(z,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\pi} \rho \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dz' \frac{\delta c(z',t) - \delta c(z,t)}{(z'-z)^2}.
$$

(3)

At the linear order, Eq. (3) is formally equivalent to the equation of motion of a contact line of Newtonian fluids partially wetting a solid surface [38,39]. The steady-state pinned profile with logarithmic tails given in Ref. [34] is taken as the initial condition. The equation of propagation can be solved exactly [30], yielding

$$
\frac{\pi \delta v}{C_v v_0} = \text{arctan} \left( \frac{z + d}{v_0 d} \right) - \text{arctan} \left( \frac{z - d}{v_0 d} \right).
$$

(4)

From Eq. (4), we obtain the depinning velocity $v_{\text{dep}} = C v_0 \approx 3 C v_m$, which is in good agreement with the experimental data of Fig. 2(c) (solid line). Note that the existence of a characteristic depinning speed $v_0$ emerging from the kinetic law $G_m(v_m)$ was noticed by Kolvin et al. [40] in the context of microbranching induced crack pinning.

The speed profile can then be readily integrated to provide the general form of the front profile. Figure 4 shows a spatiotemporal map of $\delta f(z,t)$, where the entire relaxation to a straight configuration can be observed. To avoid cumbersome equations, we will just give analytical expressions of $\delta f(z,t)$ in some limits which are useful to interpret our experimental data [30]. For $z = d$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\pi \delta f(d,t)}{C_d} = \frac{v_0}{d} \left[ \text{arctan} \left( \frac{2d}{v_0 t} \right) - 2 \text{arctan} \left( \frac{d}{v_0 t} \right) \right]
$$

$$
+ \ln \left[ 4 + \left( \frac{d}{v_0 t} \right)^2 \right].
$$

(5)

Equation (5) is in good agreement with the experimental data of Fig. 3(b) (dashed line), using $C_{\text{st}}$ to normalize $\delta f(d,t)$. In the limit $|z| \gg d$, we obtain $\delta f(z,t) = C_d / \pi \ln (1 + [z/(v_0 t)]^2)$, which generalizes the model of Marsh and Cazabat [41] for the depinning of a contact line, obtained in the long time and vanishing mean velocity limits [30]. Thus, we obtain

$$
w(t) \approx \sqrt{3} v_0 t = (\sqrt{3} / \gamma) v_m t.
$$

(6)

As shown in Fig. 4, the linear spreading of the perturbation provides a good approximation even at relatively short time.

To summarize, our study of the depinning of a brittle crack from a single obstacle reveals a characteristic velocity $v_0$ that sets the relaxation time $\lambda / v_0$ of the front perturbations of wavelength $\lambda$. This characteristic speed that emerges from the crack growth law $G_m(v_m)$ allows us to derive an overdamped equation of motion $(v = v_m)$ that was shown to capture quantitatively the crack front evolution during depinning as observed in our experiments. The offset between theory and experiments at longer time $t \gg d/v_0$ may be attributed to nonlinear geometrical effects [30]. Overall, a quasistatic theory captures well the depinning dynamics provided $v_0$ is much smaller than the Rayleigh wave speed $v_0 \approx 75$ m/s for PDMS.

Following are the implications of these findings. First, it sheds light on the nature of the dissipation accompanying avalanches in failure of heterogeneous solids. During an avalanche, the depinning region of the front reaches the speed $v_0$ that may be much larger than the average crack speed $v_m$. Owing to the increase of the fracture energy with crack speed and the continuity of the elastic energy at the onset of depinning, the dissipation rate during an avalanche is close to the toughness of the impurities, leading to an additional dissipation that reduces to $\approx C^2 G_c d^2$ per heterogeneity for the case of a periodic array of obstacles. For disordered distributions, in the strong pinning regime where the front motion consists of a succession of avalanches, we then expect the energy dissipated by the unit fractured surface to be significantly larger than the matrix toughness, and closer to the obstacle fracture energy, even for relatively low obstacle density. The proposed crack evolution equation that is amenable to the exploration of complex toughness landscape embedding multiple obstacles predicts the total energy dissipated, including the contribution due to depinning instabilities, and so can serve...
as a tool for the design of patterned interfaces with improved mechanical performance.

Secondly our findings allow us to address a long-standing question about the failure of disordered solids and its relationship with critical phenomena. For randomly distributed obstacles, cracks exhibit a jerky dynamics characterized by universal scaling laws that were shown to be reminiscent of the so-called depinning transition of an elastic interface driven in a random medium [22,25,42]. However, the control parameter that sets the distance of the system to the critical point was not identified yet, in particular, under displacement controlled conditions where the front velocity \( v_m \) is imposed. From the description of the crack dynamics during unstable events brought by this study, this can now be achieved through the comparison of the driving velocity \( v_d \) with the characteristic speed \( v_0 \) of the avalanches, leading to the control parameter \( \delta = v_d / v_0 \). As expected for dynamical phase transition, this parameter controls the crack front behavior, like the correlation time \( \tau_0 \) that controls the critical behavior of fracturing material opens a new perspective for the quantitative description of fracture in terms of depinning transition.
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